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Abstract
For a structural design to be satisfactory, generally four major objectives – utility, safety, economical and elegance must be fulfilled. This paper presents a study on behaviour and economical of roof trusses and purlins by comparison of limit state and working stress method. Roof trusses and purlins are therefore an integral part of an industrial building and the like for supporting the roofing system. This paper presents a study on behaviour and economical of fin type roof trusses, channel section purlins by comparison of limit state and working stress method. This study involves in examination of theoretical investigations of specimens in series. Overall two methods were designed and comparison of all the internal forces, economical, and hence, to evaluate the co-existing moments and shear forces at the critical cross-section with same configuration area by keeping all other parameters constant. The theoretical data are calculated using Indian Standard code IS 875-1975 (part III), IS 800 – 2007 using limit state method, IS 800- 1984 using working stress method and the section properties of the specimens are obtained using steel table. The specimens are designed under uniformly distributed loading with simply supported condition. The research project aims to provide which method is economical, high bending strength, more load carrying capacity and high flexural strength. The studies reveal that the theoretical investigations limit state method design is high bending strength, high load caring capacity, minimum deflection and minimum local buckling & distortional buckling compare to the working stress method. But working stress method is most economical compare to the limit state method design.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Industrial buildings are low rise steel structures, characterized by their low height, lack of interior floors, walls and partitions. Roof trusses are elements of the structure composed of members subjected to direct stresses. Sometimes the truss is also called an open web beam. It consists of a triangular network of compression and tension members. On the basis of structural behaviour, roof trusses can be classified into simple roof trusses supported over masonry walls, and roof trusses supported over columns and connected to it with knee braces. Theoretically, truss members are subjected only to direct tension and direct compression. Purlins are beams provide over trusses to support the roofing between the adjacent trusses, these are placed in a tilted position over the principal rafters of the trusses. Channel and angle sections are commonly used purlins. Cold formed steel purlins are the widely used structural elements in India. Practically ‘Z’ sections are provided, where the span of the roof purlins is sloped and the length of the span is maximum. The main process of cold formed steel structural elements involves forming steel sections in cold state sheets at uniform thickness. The thickness of steel member ranges from 0.4 mm to 6.4mm. The cold forming operation increases the yield point and ultimate strength of the steel sections (1). Light gauge steel sections are also known as cold formed steel sections. These sections are made from thin sheets of uniform thickness without the applications of heat. The thickness of the sheet used is generally between 1mm and 8mm. These types of sections are extensively used in the building industry, as purlins girts, light struts roof sheeting, and floor decking. These sections become economical for light loads and to form useful surfaces such as roof covering, wall panels. Load carrying capacity should decreases with increase in Length and Width to thickness (W/t) ratio. Due to minimum thickness of cold rolled steel, considering the Local, torsional & distortional buckling characteristics for its behaviour study most of the failures occurs at 1/3 distance for 1, 1.2mm elements & at centre for 1.6, 2mm elements. The experimental investigation 2mm thick cold formed steel “Long column with Web Stiffened” is preferable for “C Section”(2). Various types of section may be manufactured using light gauge steel. They includes angles, channel with and without lips, hat section lipped Z Section etc.. Cold Formed steel product such as Z-purlin has been commonly
used in metal building industry more than 40 year in unites state due to their wide range of application, economy, ease of fabrication and high strength-to-weight ratios. Z- Purlins are predominantly used in light load and medium span situations such as roof systems \(^3\). The design of industrial building is governed mainly by functional requirements and the need for economy of construction. In cross-sections these buildings will range from single or multibay structures of larger span when intended for use as warehouses or aircraft hangars to smaller span buildings as required for factories, assembly plants, maintenance facilities, packing plants etc. The main dimensions will nearly always be dictated by the particular operational activities involved, but the structural designer’s input on optimum spans and the selection of suitable cross-sections profile can have an important bearing on achieving overall economy. An aspect where the structural designer can make a more direct contribution is in lengthwise dimensions i.e. the bay lengths of the building. Here a balance must be struck between larger bays involving fewer, heavier main components such as columns, trusses, purlins, crane beams, etc. and smaller bays with a large number of these items at lower unit mass. An important consideration in this regard is the cost of foundations, since a reduction in number of columns will always result in lower foundation costs. Light gauge cold formed steel section channel section have high bending strength, high load caring capacity, minimum deflection and minimum local buckling & distortional buckling compare to the built up channel section by same cross sectional area. The numerical investigation of channel section is the maximum bending moment, torsional moment and deformation is higher than the built up channel section by same cross sectional area \(^4\). There are several failure modes among which distortional buckling is one such failure mode that affects the strength of the section. In order to assess the influence of distortional buckling, a parametric study has been conducted by varying the lip depth, which is the influencing factor for distortional buckling strength \(^5\). Channels may be used as compression or flexural members. Hat section and Z section are used as flexural members. Hollow rectangular section used for variety of sections. Built up I section using Light gauge steel with lower H/t aspect ratio behaves significantly showing elastic and plastic deformation both. With increment in H/t aspect ratio this behaviour changes and shows failure in elastic zone \(^6\). Black bolts and high strength friction grip bolts may be used for the connection of the light gauge sections. However, high strength friction grip bolts are most suitable for the connection of light gauge sections. Advances in computational features and software have brought the finite element method within reach of both academic research and engineers in practice by means of general-purpose nonlinear finite element analysis packages, with one of the most used nowadays being ANSYS. The program offers a wide range of options regarding element types, material behaviour and numerical solution controls, as well as graphic user interfaces (known as GUIs), auto-meshers, and sophisticated postprocessors and graphics to speed the analyses. In this paper, the structural system modelling is based on the use of this commercial software. Several numerical modelling issues related to potential convergence problems, loading strategies and computer efficiency. The accuracy and simplicity of the proposed model make it suitable to predict and/or complement experimental investigations \(^7\).most of structural elements are analysis by using STADD pro and ANSYS.

2. AIM OF THE STUDY

The main aim of the study provides which method is most economical method and , high bending strength, more load carrying capacity and high flexural strength by analysis of both working stress and limit state method.

3. EXPERIMENTAL INVESTIGATION

3.1 Materials

3.1.1 Light Gauge Steel Physical Properties:

The rolled steel sheet is used. The physical properties of light gauge steel section given in Table 1. The properties taken from the Indian Standard code IS 800-2007

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Physical properties light gauge steel section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density of steel (( \rho ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity ,E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of rigidity ,G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO efficient of thermal expansion (( \alpha ))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2 Components of Roof Trusses:

Principal rafter, sling, principal tie, strut, tie, bottom chord and top chord purlins, sag tie and bracing
3.1.2.1 Principal Rafter
Principal rafter is a post chord member in a roof truss and boom is the principal compression member in arcane.

3.1.2.2 Stanchion
Column, stanchion or post is a vertical compression member supporting floors or girders in a building. These compression members are subjected to heavy loads.

3.1.2.3 Strut
Strut is a compression member used in the roof truss and bracing. It is small span and lightly loaded compression member. A strut may be continuous or discontinuous. A continuous strut is a compression member which is continuous over a number of joints, such as a top chord member of a truss bridge girder, principal rafter of a roof truss etc.

3.1.2.4 Purlins
Purlins are beams provide over trusses to support the roofing between the adjacent trusses. These are placed in a tilted position over the principal rafters of the trusses. Channel and angle sections are commonly used purlins.

3.1.2.5 Sag Tie:
The long middle tie member of the truss may sag because of its self weight and to check this sag tie may be provided.

3.1.3 Loads on the Roof Truss:
roof truss are subjected to dead load, live load, wind load and snow loads etc.

3.1.3.1 Dead Load:
The dead loads of the truss include the dead load of roofing materials, purlins, trusses and bracing system.

3.1.3.2 Live Load:
The imposed load on sloping roofs with slopes up to and including 10 degree is to be taken as 0.75kN/m² of plan area. For roofs with slopes > 10 degree, an imposed load 0.75kN/m² < 0.02 kN/m² for every degree increase in slope over 10 degree, subject to a minimum of 0.4 kN/m² is to be taken for roof membrane.

3.1.3.3 Snow Load:
This load varies with the region in which the structure is built. Actual load due to snow will depend upon the shape of the roof and its capacity to retain the snow. In case of roofs with slope greater than 50 degree, snow load may be disregarded.

3.1.3.4 Wind Load:
The most critical load on an industrial building is the wind load.

\[ \text{Wind force } (F) = (C_{p_h} - C_{p_i}) \cdot A \cdot P_d \]

3.1.4 Spacing of Roof Trusses:
the usual economical spacing ranges between 4 and 8m with the lower limit for short truss spans and higher limit for long spans of about 30 m or over. For span upto 18m spacing should preferably be 4m but not exceed 5m. For spans from 18m to 25m the spacing should not exceed 6m in our case the spacing of trusses is limited to 6m.
3.2 Theoretical Investigations of Roof Trusses and Purlins

3.2.1 Design of Roof Trusses

The building is located in industrial area Coimbatore. Both ends of the truss are hinged.
Span of the roof truss is = 18m
Spacing of the trusses is = 4m
Height of building is = 8m
Length of buildings is = 20m
Rise of the trusses = 1 in 4

Pitch of the roof trusses

Pitch (p) = \frac{\text{height}}{\text{span}} = 4.5m

Slope of the roof (\Theta ) = 26.56

Length along the slopping roof = 10.06m

Length of each panel let us divide the length in to four equal parts length of each panel

\text{Length of each panel} = \frac{\text{length of slopping roof}}{4} = 2.52m

Load calculation

3.2.1.1 Design of Dead Load:

The dead loads are taken from the steel table. The dead loads of the truss include the dead load of roofing materials, purlins, trusses and bracing system

- Weight of roof covering (galvanised sheeting) = 150 N/m²
- Weight of purlins = 100 N/m²
- Weight of wind bracing = 15 N/m²
- Self Weight of trusses (span / 3 +5)10 = 110 N/m²

Total dead load of roof trusses (Wd) = 375 N/m²

Load at each intermediate panel due to dead load = 3375 N
Load at each end panel due to dead load = 1687.5 N

3.2.1.2 Design of Live Load:

The live loads are taken from the steel table and IS 875 – 1975

Live load = 750 – 20 x (26.56° – 10°) = 418 N/m²

Load at each intermediate panel due to live load = 3800 N
Load at each end panel due to live load = 1900 N

3.2.1.3 Design of Wind Loads:

Let us assume industrial building to be 50 years and the and the land to be plain and surrounded by small buildings.

Risk coefficient (k1) taken from IS 875- 1975 = 1 (life of building 50 years)

Terrains category (k1) taken from IS 875- 1975 = 0.88

Topography factor (k3) taken from IS 875- 1975 = 1 (plain ground)

Basic wind speed (V_b) for zone 5 = 39 m / s

Design wind speed (V_z) = k_1 x k_2 x k_3 x V_b = 34.44 N/m²

Design wind pressure P_d = (0.6 x V_z²) = 711.66 N/m²
An internal pressure coefficient depends upon the degree of permeability of cladding to the flow of air. The internal air pressure may be positive or negative depending on the direction of flow of air in relation to opening in the building. Building with small opening 0-5% the an internal pressure coefficient + 0.2 or -0.2, Building with medium opening 5 – 20 % an internal pressure coefficient + 0.5 or -0.5 , Building with large opening above 20 % an internal pressure coefficient + 0.7 or -0.7.

An internal pressure coefficient + 0.5 or -0.5 because of building with medium opening 5 – 20 m. An external pressure coefficient + 0.7 or -0.7 depends upon the height and width ratio wind ward and lee ward given in condition IS 875 -1975. Part III

Wind force (F) = \((C_{pi} - C_{pe}) \times A \times P_d\) = 8160 N

Load at each intermediate panel due to wind load = 3800 N

Load at each end panel due to wind load = 1900 N

3.2.2 Theoretical Investigations of Purlins by Working Stress Method

Purlins section is subjected to biaxial bending by dead load and uniaxial bending by wind load, such bending is called unsymmetrical bending. Purlins may be designed as simple, continuous or cantilever beams. The simple beam design yields the greatest moments and deflections. The bending moment due to dead load and wind load are

Bending moment in x-x direction \(M_{dx}\) = \(\frac{w_d \cos \theta \times B}{10}\) = 0.54 kNm

Bending moment in y-y direction \(M_{dy}\) = \(\frac{w_d \sin \theta \times B}{10}\) = 0.279 kNm

Bending moment in wind direction \(M_{wx}\) = \(\frac{ww \times B}{10}\) = 3.44 kNm

\(H=2T19 \text{ N/m}\)

Where
\(B = \text{Spacing of the truss}\)
\(W_d = \text{dead load, } \text{ww} = \text{wind load}\)

Maximum Design moments \(M_{xx} = M_{dx} + M_{wx} = 2.90\) kNm

Section modulus (Z) required = \(\frac{M_X}{\text{Bending stress } (0.66 \times 250)}\) = 0.27kNm

Selection of channel section 40% more than the actual section modulus. Therefore

Let us provide ISMC 100 @ 92 N/m, \(\Lambda = 1170\text{mm}^2\)

Relevant Properties of channel section \(Z_{xx} = 37.3 \times 10^3\) mm3, \(Z_{yy} = 7.5x \times 103\) mm3

Check for bending stresses = \(\frac{M_{xx}}{Z_{xx}} + \frac{M_{yy}}{Z_{yy}}\) = 137.74 N /mm²
The actual bending stress is 165 N/mm² and design bending stress is 137.74 N/mm²

Hence section is safe 137.74 N/mm² < 165 N/mm²

3.2.3 Theoretical Investigations of Purlins by Limit State Method

Limit state method

Dead load = 375 N/m²

Wind load = 8160 N

The component of dead load parallel to the roof = 375 x 2.52 x sin 26.56

The component of dead load normal to the roof = 375 x 2.52 x cos 26.56

Total load on purline normal to the roof = 13085.27 N/m

Factored load normal to the roof (p) = 19627.905 N/m

Factored load parallel to the roof (p) = 633.8 N/m

The limit state method normal and parallel to the roof load diagram given below

Maximum bending moment in x-x direction = \( \frac{PL}{10} \) = 12.157 kNm

Maximum bending moment in y-y direction = \( \frac{HL}{10} \) = 12.157 kNm

Required plastic section modulus \((Z)_{\text{Required}}\) required let us try to depth of the section = 300 mm and width of flange = 90 mm

\[
(Z)_{\text{Required}} = + 2.5 \left( \frac{\text{Depth of the section}}{\text{Width of the flange}} \right) \times (\text{My} \left( \frac{y_m}{y} \right))
\]

Selection of channel section 40% more than the actual section modulus. There fore

Let us provide ISMC 150 @ 164 N/m

Area = 1836 mm², Moment of inertia \(I_{xx} = 697.2 \times 10^4 \text{ mm}^4\), \(b y^2 = 103.2 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3\).

Elastic section modulus \(Ze_z = 93 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3\)

Plastic section modulus \(Zp_z = bf x t_f (h - t_f) + t_w (h - 2 t_f/4) = 83.34 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3\)

Design bending capacity of the section \(M_{dz} = Zp_z x \left( \frac{f_y}{y_{mo}} \right) \leq 1.2 \times Ze_z x \left( \frac{f_y}{y_{mo}} \right)\)

\(M_{dz} = 18.94 \times 10^6 \text{ Nmm} \leq 23.94 \times 10^6 \text{ Nmm}\)

The actual bending moment is 12.157 kNm and design bending capacity is 18.94 \(\times 10^6 \text{ Nmm}^2\) hence section is safe 18.94 \(\times 10^6 > 12.157 \text{ kNm}\)

4. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Overall two methods were designed and comparison of all the internal force, and hence, to evaluate the co-existing moments and shear forces at the critical cross-section with same configuration area by keeping all other parameters constant. The theoretical data are calculated using Indian Standard code IS 800-1984 using working stress method, IS 800-2007 using limit state method, steel table and IS 875part (III) using calculation of wind load recommended. The theoretical results of the limit state method is bending moment and load carrying capacity is 76.25 % and 41.35 % higher than the working stress method. Actual deflection and bending stress is same in both the method. But working stress method is more economical method compare to the limit state method. The working stress method, the total weight of steel is required 1502 kg and total rate of cost is RS 82,610. The limit state method the total weight of steel is
required 2308 kg and total rate of cost is RS 126,940. In this paper investigation, the limit state method is the total quantity of weight of steel and rate of cost is 34.78% higher than the working stress method. Comparison of rate of the amount for panel length of truss and spacing of truss given in table below.

### Table 2: Comparison of Weight and Amount by Working stress method and Limit state method for Spacing of the Truss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacing of the truss in (m)</th>
<th>Limit state method</th>
<th>Working stress method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight in (kg)</td>
<td>Amount (RS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4m</td>
<td>57.65</td>
<td>3170.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8m</td>
<td>115.3</td>
<td>6341.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12m</td>
<td>172.95</td>
<td>9512.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16m</td>
<td>230.6</td>
<td>12683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20m</td>
<td>288.5</td>
<td>15853.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of weight and amount by working stress method is 36.75 kg and RS 3170.25 centre to centre spacing of the truss and Limit state method is centre to centre Spacing of the truss 57.65 kg and rare of the amount is RS 2021.25. The result are shown in table .2 and given in figure 4.

![Comparison of Cost Between WSM & LSM Spacing of Truss](image)

**Fig 4**: cost between WSM & LSM spacing of Truss

### Table 3: Comparison of Weight and Amount by Working stress method and Limit state method for panel length of the Truss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel length in (m)</th>
<th>Limit state method</th>
<th>Working stress method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight in (kg)</td>
<td>Amount (RS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>288.5</td>
<td>15867.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>576.5</td>
<td>31212.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>864.75</td>
<td>47602.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1153</td>
<td>63470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.25</td>
<td>1441.25</td>
<td>79337.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>1729.5</td>
<td>95205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>2017.75</td>
<td>111072.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2306</td>
<td>126830</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparison of weight and amount by limit state method is 288.5 kg and RS 15867.5 for per panel length and 20 m length of truss and working stress method is 187.75kg and rare of the amount is RS 10326.25. The result are shown in table .3 and given in figure 5.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper investigation the total roofing load configuration is same in both the working stress and limit state method. But area of section is 37% needed for limit state method in compare to the working stress method.

The theoretical results of the limit state method is bending moment and load caring capacity is 76.25 % and 41.35 % higher than the working stress method. Actual deflection and bending stress is same in both the method. But working stress method is more economical method compare to the limit state method. The working stress method, the total weight of steel is required 1502 kg and total rate of cost is RS 82,610. The limit state method the total weight of steel is required 2308 kg and total rate of cost is RS 126,940. In this paper investigation, the limit state method is the total quantity of weight of steel and rate of cost is 34.78% higher than the working stress method. The studies reveal that the theoretical investigations limit state method design is high bending strength, high load caring capacity, minimum deflection and minimum local buckling& distortional buckling compare to the working stress method. But working stress method is most economical compare to the limit state method design.

The studies reveal that the theoretical investigations limit state method design is high bending strength, high load caring capacity, minimum deflection and minimum local buckling& distortional buckling compare to the working stress method. But working stress method is most economical compare to the limit state method design.
REFERENCES


